

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
COLUMBIA DIVISION

Leo McClam,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Mazo Armor,

Defendant.

C.A. No. 3:05-3499-TLW-JRM

ORDER

The Plaintiff brought this *pro se* civil action against the Defendant under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, alleging assault, battery, and general harassment. This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed October 23, 2006, by United States Magistrate Judge Joseph McCrorey, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge McCrorey recommends that the Plaintiff’s complaint be dismissed under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). The Plaintiff did not object to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge's Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

In light of this standard, the Court has carefully reviewed the Report and has concluded that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 9), and Plaintiff's claim is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

February 25, 2008
Florence, South Carolina